Evidence for (Infinitely Diverse) Non-Convex Mirrors

Tristan Hübsch

@ String Theory, Geometry and String Model Building Meeting Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics; 2018.09.14

Departments of Physics & Astronomy and Mathematics, Howard University, Washington DC Department of Physics, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Novi Sad University, Serbia Department of Physics, University of Central Florida, Orlando FL



Non-Convex Mirror-Models

Prehistoric Prelude Two-Part Invention

Laurent GLSM Fugue Discriminant Divertimento & a few Mirror Motets

> "It doesn't matter what ít's called, ...íf ít has substance." S.-T. Yau



Pre-Historic Prelude (Where are We Coming From)



Pre-Historic Prelude

Classical Constructions

Complete Intersections

Solve Ex.: $(x-x_1)^2 + (y-y_1)^2 + (z-z_1)^2 = R_1^2$ (x-x₂)²+(y-y₂)²+(z-z₂)² = R₂²
Solve Algebraic (constraint) equations
Solve ...in a well-understood "ambient" (A)

Solution Solution

○ For hypersurfaces: X={p(x) = 0} ⊂ A
○ Sections: [f(x)]_X = [f(x) ≃ f(x) + λ·p(x)]_A
○ Differentials: [dx]_X = [dx ≃ dx + λ·dp(x)]_A
○ Homogeneity: CPⁿ = U(n+1)/[U(1)×U(n)]
○ r-cohomology on CPⁿ → U(n+1)-tensors

Just like gauge transformations

 \dots with U(n) tensors

Meromorphic Minuet Why Haven't We Thought of This Before? BH 1606.07420 Generation Strategy Strategy [AAGGL: 1507.03235] $\textcircled{B}E.g: \quad X_m \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & 1 & 4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & m & 2-m \end{bmatrix}_{-168}^{-168}, \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ $\ Wall: \kappa_{111} = 2 + 3m, \kappa_{112} = 4$, so $(aJ_1 + bJ_2)^3 = [2a + 3(4b + ma)]a^2$. $Also p_1[aJ_1+bJ_2] = -88-12(4b+ma)... the same "4b+ma"$ \bigcirc Thus $X_m \approx X_{m+4\gamma}$ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$: 4 diffeo classes in the sequence \bigcirc Are there deg(4,-1) holomorphic sections?! \bigcirc Not on $\mathbb{P}^4 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $m=3: | \mathcal{O}_A \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{O}_A \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\rho_F} \mathcal{O}_A \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} |_{F_m}$ \bigcirc but yes on \dot{F}_m 0. $H^0(F_m, Q) \frown$ 0 0 $\{\varphi_{(abc)}^{i_1(i_2i_3i_4)}\}$ $H^1(F_m,Q)$ 0 1. $H^2(F_m,Q)$ 0 2. 0 $\varphi^{i(jk_1\cdots k_M)} \approx \varepsilon^{i(j}\varphi^{k_1\cdots k_M)}$, as U(n+1) ~ U(1)×SU(n) irrep.

Meromotyphic Minuet
...in well-tempered counterpoint

$$For \left\{ \underbrace{x_0 \ y_0^m + x_1 \ y_1^m}_{i=\phi(x,y) \ F} = -\sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \ \delta p_{\alpha}(x,y) \right\} = F_{m;e}^{(m)} \in \left[\begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^n \\ \mathbb{P}^1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ m \end{bmatrix} \right]^{1606.07420} \\ \stackrel{(1606.07420)}{=} \\ \stackrel{(1606.$$

... in well-tempered counterpoint

$$\text{ For } \left\{ \underbrace{x_0 \ y_0^m + x_1 \ y_1^m}_{:= \ p(x,y)} = -\sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \ \delta p_{\alpha}(x,y) \right\} = F_{m;\epsilon}^{(n)} \in \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{P}^n \\ \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array} \right]^{1000.07420}$$

1606 07/20

○ The central (ε = 0) member of the family has all the requisite features:
○ Directrix: S :={\$\$(x,y) = 0}, [S] = [H₁] -m[H₂] & Sⁿ = -(n-1)m;
○ Extra anticanonicals: dim H⁰(F⁽ⁿ⁾_{m;ε}, K*) = 3(²ⁿ⁻¹_n) + δ_{ε,0} · θ^m₃ · (²ⁿ⁻²₂)(m-3)
○ Extra *T*-bundle valued: dim H⁰(F⁽ⁿ⁾_{m;ε}, T) = n²+2 + δ_{ε,0} · θ^m₁ · (n-1)(m-1)

$$\chi(\mathcal{K}_{F_{m;\epsilon}^{(n)}}^{\otimes k}) := \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} \dim H^{i}(F_{m;\epsilon}^{(n)}, \mathcal{K}^{\otimes k}) = \frac{(1-2k)}{(n-1)!} (1+nk) \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} \left(n(k+1) - j \right)$$

... in well-tempered counterpoint

$$\text{ For } \left\{ \underbrace{x_0 \ y_0^m + x_1 \ y_1^m}_{:= \ p(x,y)} = -\sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \ \delta p_{\alpha}(x,y) \right\} = F_{m;\epsilon}^{(n)} \in \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{P}^n \\ \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array} \right]^{1000.07420}$$

606 07120

The central (ε = 0) member of the family has all the requisite features:
Directrix: S :={\$\$(x,y) = 0}, [S] = [H₁] -m[H₂] & Sⁿ = -(n-1)m;
Extra anticanonicals: dim H⁰(F⁽ⁿ⁾_{m;ε}, K*) = 3(²ⁿ⁻¹_n) + δ_{ε,0}·∂^m₃·(²ⁿ⁻²₂)(m-3)
Extra *T*-bundle valued: dim H⁰(F⁽ⁿ⁾_{m;ε}, T) = n²+2 + δ_{ε,0}·∂^m₁·(n-1)(m-1)
…exactly as computed for F⁽ⁿ⁾_{m;ε} := P(O_{P1} ⊕ O_{P1}(m)^{⊕(n-1)}) .
All "extras" are lost for generic (ε_α≠0) deformations, resulting in the *discrete* deformation F⁽ⁿ⁾_m → F⁽ⁿ⁾_{m(mod n)}.
Also, explicit tensorial (residue) representatives → can compute coupling ratios

... in well-tempered counterpoint

$$\textcircled{B} E.g: X_m \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & 1 & 4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & m & 2-m \end{bmatrix} \subset F_m \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & m \end{bmatrix} = \{ p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0 \}$$

 $\bigcirc F_m :$ at $z \in \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathbb{P}^4[1] = \mathbb{P}^3$; so F_m is a deg-*m* fibration of \mathbb{P}^3 over \mathbb{P}^1 . $\bigcirc X_m$ is an anticanonical (CY) hypersurface in F_m .

 \bigcirc Cohomology maps made explicit (*m*=3): $\mathcal{O}_{A}\begin{pmatrix}3\\-4\end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{O}_{A}\begin{pmatrix}4\\-1\end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\rho_{F}} \mathcal{O}_{A}\begin{pmatrix}4\\-1\end{pmatrix}|_{F_{m}}$ m = 3 : $q_{(abcd)}^{i} := f_{(abc}^{i(jkl)} \cdot p_{d)(jkl)}$ $H^0(F_m, \mathcal{Q})$ 0. 0 0 *q*-maps now factor $\{\varphi_{(abc)}^{i_1(i_2i_3i_4)}\}$ $H^1(F_m,Q)$ 1. 0 thru *p*-maps! 2. $H^2(F_m,Q)$ 0 No longer independent in the Koszul resolution $\varphi^{i(jk_1\cdots k_M)} \not\approx \varepsilon^{i(j}\varphi^{k_1\cdots k_M)}$, as $U(n+1) \sim U(1) \times SU(n+1)$ for $X_m!$ Source: H^q for codim = q+1 CYn-fold



$$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Meromorphic Minuet} \\ \textbf{i.in well-tempered counterpoint} \\ \textbf{W} = g: X_m \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ m \\ 2-m \end{bmatrix} \subset F_m \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a \ y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0\} \\ \textbf{W} = \{p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^{j_m} + p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^{j_m} x^{j_m}$$

•

... in well-tempered counterpoint

$$\textcircled{B} E.g: X_m \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & 1 & 4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & m & 2-m \end{bmatrix} \subset F_m \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & m \end{bmatrix} = \{ p_{a(j_1 \cdots j_m)} x^a y^{j_1} \cdots y^{j_m} = 0 \}$$

 \bigcirc The Koszul resolution complicated by factoring $q^i_{(abcd)} := f^{i(jkl)}_{(abc} \cdot p_{d)(jkl)}$

$$\mathcal{O}\begin{pmatrix} -5\\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{O}\begin{pmatrix} -4\\ m-2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{O}_{A} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{O}_{A} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{O}_{X} \qquad \begin{cases} p \in H^{0}(A, \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{m})) \\ \varepsilon f \leftarrow H^{1}(A, \mathcal{O}(\frac{4}{2-m})) \\ q \in H^{0}(F_{m}, \mathcal{O}(\frac{4}{2-m})) \end{cases}$$

The induced cohomology ϵf -map acts from $H^q \rightarrow H^{q+1}$,
The q-sections may be "complicated" by denominator factor

$$q(x, y) := f_{(abc}^{i(j_1 \cdots j_{m-2} j_{m-1} \cdots j_{2m-3})} \cdot p_{d)(i j_{m-1} \cdots j_{2m-3})} \frac{x^a x^b x^c x^d}{g^{(j_1 \cdots j_{m-2})}(y)}$$

⊆...which serves only to spread out the poles (if so desired) ⊆...the choice of which is the only factor *not* dictated by "linear algebra" ⊆...also, can (and may need to) "un-contract" indices: $δ^i_j → (y^i/y_j)$.



New Prospects



1606.07420

Beyond the (Theorem of) Wall

The (mod 4) periodicity is not so crazy after all...

$$\underbrace{x_0 y_0^m + x_1 y_1^m}_{:= \mathring{p}(x, y)} = -\sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \delta p_{\alpha}(x, y) \Big\} = F_{m;\epsilon}^{(n)} \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^n & || 1 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & || m \end{bmatrix}$$

So For $\epsilon \neq 0$: $F_{m;\epsilon}$. However, for $\epsilon = 0$ this is $F_{m;0}$ (has a $C \cdot C = -m$).

Since $F_{m;\epsilon}$ are both rigid, $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}_{\epsilon}$ / reparam. = 2 pts.

 \bigcirc ...but all $F_{m;\epsilon}$, for $[m \pmod{n}]$ are in the same diffeomorphism class

Something similar happens with the CY(n−1)-folds X_m



The Big Picture (What are We Doing?)

New Prospects Beyond the (Theorem of) Wall



1606.07420

The previous example and its cousins:

 $h^{1,1} = 2, h^{2,1} = 86; \dim H^1(X_m, \operatorname{End} T) = 188$ κ_{ABC} and $p_1[\omega_A]$ vary in a (mod 4) fashion



New Prospects Beyond the (Theorem of) Wall



The previous example and its cousins:

 $h^{1,1} = 2, h^{2,1} = 86; \dim H^1(X_m, \operatorname{End} T) = 188$ κ_{ABC} and $p_1[\omega_A]$ vary in a (mod 4) fashion

> $\cdots \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & 1 & 4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 6 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & 1 & 4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & 1 & 4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & 1 & 4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 5 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \approx \cdots$ $\cdots \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & 1 & 4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 7 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & 1 & 4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & 1 & 4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{P}^4 & 1 & 4 \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & 4 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \approx \cdots$ $X_E: \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_3(\Delta_3^\circ)$

> > $X_D: \mathcal{M}(\Delta_3^\circ)$

 $X_J: \mathcal{M}(\Delta_5^\circ)$ $X_C: \mathcal{M}_4(\Delta_2^\circ) \qquad X_B: \mathcal{M}(\Delta_1^\circ) \not\simeq X_K: \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_5(\Delta_5^\circ)$ $X_A: \mathcal{M}(\Delta_0^\circ) \not\simeq X_G: \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_4(\Delta_4^\circ)$ $X_F:\mathcal{M}(\Delta_4^\circ)$

1606.07420

New Prospects

Beyond the (Theorem of) Wall

Segue to toric (re)incarnation:

Corollary 1.1 (toric vs. bi-projective). The Hirzebruch n-folds defined by the central biprojective embedding $(F_m^{(n)} := \{x_0 \ y_0^m + x_1 \ y_1^m = 0\}) \subset \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1$ are isomorphic to the toric varieties specified as

and the explicit isomorphism of homogeneous and Cox coordinates respectively is given as: $as: \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx$

$$\mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \ni (x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}; y_{0}, y_{1}) \mapsto \begin{cases} X_{1} = \left[\left(\frac{x_{0}}{y_{1}^{m}} - \frac{x_{1}}{y_{1}^{m}} \right) + \frac{x}{(y_{0}, y_{1})^{m}} \mathring{p}(x, y) \right] \\ X_{2} = x_{2}, \cdots X_{n} = x_{n}, X_{n+1} = y_{0}, X_{n+2} = y_{1}, \end{cases}$$

where $(S := \{X_1 = 0\}) \subset F_m^{(n)}$ is the hallmark **directrix** [28], parametrizes the MPCPdesingularization, and has the maximally negative self-intersection $S \cdot \ldots \cdot S = -(n-1)m$.



Two-Part Invention

Toric Geometry Consider $S^2 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$:



Convex Bodies and Algebraic Geometry An Introduction to the

veory of Toric Vaneue

Need at least two (complex) coordinates:

Symmetry: $\xi \rightarrow \lambda^{+1}\xi$ and $\eta \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}\eta$, with $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$ Symmetry: *ξ*→*λ*⁺¹*ξ* and *η*→*λ*⁻¹*η*, with *λ* ∈ $\mathbb{C}^* = (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$

(+1)

(-1)

Second Explicitly: $\lambda = e^{i(\alpha+i\beta)} = e^{-\beta} \cdot e^{i\alpha} = (real) rescaling \cdot phase-change"thickened" S1"thickened" S11616$

Two-Part Invention

Toric Geometry

More complicated examples: S² × S²
An entire 2nd sphere at every point of 1st
Orthogonal ↔ linearly independent
Top-dim cones ↔ coord. patches
2-dim (enveloping) polytope ↔ (ℂ) 2-dim. geometry

Solve: Hirzebruch (ℂ) surface, 𝔅₁.
Solve: Slanting" (0,-1) → (-m,-1) the bottom vertex (& two cones) encodes the "twist" ...
Now: Hirzebruch (ℂ) surface, 𝔅₁.
Solve: Slanting" (0,-1) → (-m,-1) the bottom vertex (& two cones) encodes the "twist" ...
Now: Slanting" (0,-1) → (-m,-1) the bottom vertex (& two cones) encodes the "twist" ...
Solve: Slanting not solve: Solve:

...focusing exclusively on convexity...
 wherein "cone" is *defined* to mean strongly convex rational polyhedral cone



Two-Part Invention

Fan Encoding

- The fan encodes the space
- ...but also its symmetries: χ_{4} \bigcirc Each primitive generator \mapsto (Cox) coordinate Read off cancelling relations $1 \, \vec{v}_{x_1} + 1 \, \vec{v}_{x_2} + 0 \, \vec{v}_{x_3} + 0 \, \vec{v}_{x_4} = 0$ $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \simeq (\lambda^1 x_1, \lambda^1 x_2, \lambda^0 x_3, \lambda^0 x_4)$ $0\,\vec{v}_{x_1} + m\,\vec{v}_{x_2} + 1\,\vec{v}_{x_3} + 1\,\vec{v}_{x_4} = 0$ $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \simeq (\lambda^0 x_1, \lambda^m x_2, \lambda^1 x_3, \lambda^1 x_4)$ Defines two independent (gauge) symmetries a GLSM w/gauge-invariant Lagrangian \bigcirc and | ground state \rangle where KE = 0 = PE **&** (quantum) Hilbert space on it



 χ_3

 X_1



Laurent GLSM Fugue (& new-fangled Toric Geometry)

A Generalized Construction of Calabi-Yau Models and Mirror Symmetry arXiv:1611.10300 + any day now...



The star-triangulation of the *spanning* polytope defines the fan of the underlying toric variety

...matched to the biprojective embedding via diffeo <u>& holo</u> data

Laurent GLSM Fugue & Non-Convex Mirrors —Proof-of-Concept

& Non-Convex Mirrors

The "standard" polar polytope is non-integral

The "standard" polar of the polar is not the spanning polytope that we started with

Signature States St



BH



Laurent GLSM Fugue & Non-Convex Mirrors —Proof-of-Concept

Construction (trans-polar)

Gereine Gereichten Gereichten

(Re)assemble parts dually

to $(\theta_i \cap \theta_i)^\circ = [(\theta_i)^\circ, (\theta_i)^\circ]$

 $(\theta_i)^\circ$ for each (convex) face

convex faces θ_i ;

with "neighbors"

poset

 (Σ,\prec)

& Non-Convex Mirrors

 (ϕ_4)

 $(\nu_1)^{\circ}$

BH

"Normal fan"

- "outer" [GE]

Dual cones \mapsto

 $(\nu_4)^{\circ}$

Ο

 $(\nu_2)^{\circ}$

inside opening

 $(\phi_2)^{\circ}$

vertex-cones [?BH]

- "inner/local" [CLS]

 ϕ_2

Laurent GLSM Fugue -Proof-of-Concept arXiv:1611.10300

& Non-Convex Mirrors

 The oriented Newton polytope:
 \bigcirc is star-triangulable \rightarrow a toric space Generation of the second secon Associating coordinates to corners: $\bigcirc SP: x_1 = (-1,0), x_2 = (1,0), x_3 = (0,1), x_4 = (-3,-1)$ $\square NP: y_1 = (-1,4), y_2 = (-1,-1), y_3 = (1,-1), y_4 = (1,-2)$ Expressing each as a monomial in the others: *NP*: $x_1^2 x_3^5 \oplus x_1^2 x_4^5 \oplus \underbrace{x_2^2}_{x_4} \oplus \underbrace{x_2^2}_{x_3}$ vs. SP: $y_1^2 y_2^2 \oplus y_3^2 y_4^2 \oplus$ $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\mathbb{P}^2_{(1:1:3)}[5]$ $\mathbb{P}^2_{(3:2:5)}[10]$ -1 BBHK rulti-fans'

BH

Laurent GLSM Fugue & Non-Convex Mirrors —Proof-of-Concept

Sin Hirzebruch 3-folds, "cornerstone" mirrors:

$$a_{1} x_{4}^{8} + a_{2} x_{3}^{8} + a_{3} \frac{x_{1}^{3}}{x_{3}} + a_{5} \frac{x_{2}^{3}}{x_{3}} : \exp \left\{ 2i\pi \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{24} & \frac{1}{24} & \frac{1}{8} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{23} & \frac{1}{23} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{3}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{3}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{3}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3}$$

arXiv:1611.10300

The Hilbert space & interactions restricted by the symmetries
 Analysis: classical, semi-classical, quantum corrections...
 ...in spite of the manifest singularity in the (super)potential

Laurent GLSM Fugue & Non-Convex Mirrors -Proof-of-Concept $v:_{iv:_{i},i_{$



& Non-Convex Mirrors

Not just Hirzebruch n-folds, either:

 \bigcirc Buckets of 2-dimensional polygons, invented to test $\forall: \Delta^{\bigstar} \rightarrow \Delta^{\forall}$

0

BH

& Non-Convex Mirrors

Not just Hirzebruch n-folds, either:

 \bigcirc Buckets of 2-dimensional polygons, invented to test $\forall: \Delta^{\bigstar} \rightarrow \Delta^{\forall}$ And, plenty of 3-dimensional polyhedra:

BF



& Non-Convex Mirrors

Not just Hirzebruch n-folds, either:

 \bigcirc Buckets of 2-dimensional polygons, invented to test $\forall: \Delta^{\bigstar} \rightarrow \Delta^{\forall}$ And, plenty of 3-dimensional polyhedra:

BF



& Non-Convex Mirrors

Not just Hirzebruch n-folds, either:

 \bigcirc Buckets of 2-dimensional polygons, invented to test $\forall: \Delta^{\bigstar} \rightarrow \Delta^{\forall}$ And, plenty of 3-dimensional polyhedra:





BF

& Non-Convex Mirrors

Not just Hirzebruch n-folds, either:

 \bigcirc Buckets of 2-dimensional polygons, invented to test $\forall: \Delta^{\bigstar} \rightarrow \Delta^{\forall}$

BH

arXiv:18xx.sooon

And, plenty of 3-dimensional polyhedra:



Laurent GLSM Fugue

& Non-Convex Mirrors

Not just Hirzebruch *n*-folds, either:

- \bigcirc Buckets of 2-dimensional polygons, invented to test $\forall: \Delta^{\bigstar} \rightarrow \Delta^{\forall}$
- And, plenty of 3-dimensional polyhedra:
- Re-triangulation & pruning:





-Proof-of-Concept

BH

Laurent GLSM Fugue

& Non-Convex Mirrors

Not just Hirzebruch n-folds, either:

 \bigcirc Buckets of 2-dimensional polygons, invented to test $\forall: \Delta^{\bigstar} \rightarrow \Delta^{\forall}$

-Proof-of-Concept-

BF

- And, plenty of 3-dimensional polyhedra:
- Re-triangulation & pruning:
- Multiply infinite sequences of twisted polytopes:



Laurent GLSM Fugue

& Non-Convex Mirrors

Not just Hirzebruch n-folds, either:

 \bigcirc Buckets of 2-dimensional polygons, invented to test $\forall: \Delta^{\bigstar} \rightarrow \Delta^{\forall}$

-Proof-of-Concept

arXiv:18xx.sooon

- ⊌And, plenty of 3-dimensional polyhedra:
- Re-triangulation & pruning:
- Multiply infinite sequences of twisted polytopes:
- And multi-fans (spanned by multi-topes):

winding number (multiplicity, Duistermaat-Heckman fn.) = 2 [A. Hattori+M. Masuda" *Theory of Multi-Fans*, Osaka J. Math. **40** (2003)1–68]

Discriminant Divertimento (How Small Can We Go?)

10⁻¹²cm

10⁻³⁰cm

10⁻³³cm

Discriminant Divertimento —Proof-of-Concept— arXiv:1611.10300

The Phase-Space

 \bigcirc The (super)potential: $W(X) := X_0 \cdot f(X)$,

$$f(X) := \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} \left(a_{ij} X_{i}^{n} \right) X_{n+j}^{2-m} + a_{j} X_{1}^{n} X_{n+j}^{(n-1)m+2} \right)$$

The possible vevs

 $|x_0|$

BH





BH **Discriminant** Divertimento —Proof-of-Concept— arXiv:1611.10300 **The Phase-Space** \bigcirc Infinite diversity in the \mathfrak{F}_m : \bigcirc The [*m* (mod *n*)] diffeomorphism $\mathbb{L}_k : \mathscr{F}_m^{(n)}[c_1] \to \mathscr{F}_{m+nk}^{(n)}[c_1]$ $\mathbb{L}_{1}:\left\{\overbrace{(0,1),(1,-m)}^{\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{F}_{m}^{(n)}[c_{1}])}\right\}\xrightarrow{\cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}\left\{\overbrace{(0,1),(1,-m)}^{\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{F}_{m-n}^{(n)}[c_{1}])}\right\}$ $\mathbb{L}_{1}:\left\{\overbrace{(1,0),\ (-n,m-2)}^{\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{F}_{m}^{(n)}[c_{1}])}\right\} \xrightarrow{\cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ n \\ 0 \ 1 \end{bmatrix}} \left\{(1,n),\ (-n,m-2-n^{2})\right\} \neq \left\{\overbrace{(1,0),\ (-n,(m-n)-2)}^{\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{F}_{m-n}^{(n)}[c_{1}])}\right\}$ $\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{F}_{m-n}^{(n)}[c_1])$ IV $\xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}_1}$ II III II III $\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{F}_{3}^{(2)})$ $\mathbb{L}_1[\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{F}_3^{(2)})] \qquad \neq \qquad$ $\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{F}_1^{(2)})$ 30

Discriminant Divertimento —Proof-of-Concept— arXiv:RealSoon

The Discriminant

BH

Now add "instantons": 0-energy string configurations wrapped around "tunnels" & "holes" in the CY spacetime

 \bigcirc Near $(r_1, r_2) \sim (0, 0)$, classical analysis of the Kähler (metric) phase-space fails [M&P: arXiv:hep-th/9412236]

$$\bigcirc \text{With} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} X_0 & X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_n & X_{n+1} & X_{n+2} \\ \hline Q^1 & -n & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ Q^2 & m-2 & -m & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \end{array}$$

Solution the instant on resummation gives:

$$r_1 + \frac{\hat{\theta}_1}{2\pi i} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log\left(\frac{\sigma_1^{n-1} \left(\sigma_1 - m \,\sigma_2\right)}{\left[(m-2)\sigma_2 - n\sigma_1\right]^n}\right),\,$$

$$r_2 + \frac{\hat{\theta}_2}{2\pi i} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log\left(\frac{\sigma_2^2 \left[(m-2)\sigma_2 - n\sigma_1\right]^{m-2}}{(\sigma_1 - m\sigma_2)^m}\right)$$

...and a Mirror Motet (Yes, the BBHK-mirrors)

Mirror Motets

The Discriminant

—Proof-of-Concept— arXiv:RealSoon

BH

Now compare with the complex structure of the BBHK-mirror
Restricted to the "cornerstone" def. poly

$$f(x) = a_0 \prod_{\nu_i \in \Delta^*} (x_{\nu_i})^{\langle \nu_i, \mu_0 \rangle + 1} + \sum_{\mu_I \in \Delta} a_{\mu_I} \prod_{\nu_i \in \Delta^*} (x_{\nu_i})^{\langle \nu_i, \mu_I \rangle + 1}$$

$$g(y) = b_0 \prod_{\mu_I \in \Delta} (y_{\mu_I})^{\langle \mu_I, \nu_0 \rangle + 1} + \sum_{\nu_i \in \Delta^*} b_{\nu_i} \prod_{\mu_I \in \Delta} (y_{\mu_I})^{\langle \mu_I, \nu_i \rangle + 1}$$
Batyrev

$$\begin{split} & \bigcirc \text{In particular,} \\ g(y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+2} b_i \phi_i(y) = b_0 \phi_0 + b_1 \phi_1 + b_2 \phi_2 + b_3 \phi_3 + b_4 \phi_4, \\ & \phi_0 &:= y_1 \cdots y_4, \quad \phi_1 &:= y_1^2 y_2^2, \quad \phi_2 &:= y_3^2 y_4^2, \quad \phi_3 &:= \frac{y_1^{m+2}}{y_3^{m-2}}, \quad \phi_4 &:= \frac{y_2^{m+2}}{y_4^{m-2}}, \\ & z_1 &= -\frac{\beta \left[(m-2)\beta + m \right]}{m+2}, \quad z_2 &= \frac{(2\beta+1)^2}{(m+2)^2 \beta^m}, \qquad \beta &:= \left[\frac{b_1 \phi_1}{b_0 \phi_0} \Big/ {}^{\mathcal{A}} \! \mathcal{J}(g) \right], \end{split}$$

Mirror Motets

The Discriminant

—Proof-of-Concept— arXiv:RealSoon

BF

ler mir

Now compare with the complex structure of the BBHK-mirror
Restricted to the "cornerstone" def. poly

$$f(x) = a_0 \prod_{\nu_i \in \Delta^*} (x_{\nu_i})^{\langle \nu_i, \mu_0 \rangle + 1} + \sum_{\mu_I \in \Delta} a_{\mu_I} \prod_{\nu_i \in \Delta^*} (x_{\nu_i})^{\langle \nu_i, \mu_I \rangle + 1}$$

$$g(y) = b_0 \prod_{\mu_I \in \Delta} (y_{\mu_I})^{\langle \mu_I, \nu_0 \rangle + 1} + \sum_{\nu_i \in \Delta^*} b_{\nu_i} \prod_{\mu_I \in \Delta} (y_{\mu_I})^{\langle \mu_I, \nu_i \rangle + 1}$$
Batyrev

 $\begin{aligned} & \bigcirc \text{In particular,} \\ g(y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+2} b_i \, \phi_i(y) = b_0 \, \phi_0 + b_1 \, \phi_1 + b_2 \, \phi_2 + b_3 \, \phi_3 + b_4 \, \phi_4, \\ \phi_0 &:= y_1 \cdots y_4, \quad \phi_1 := y_1^2 \, y_2^2, \quad \phi_2 := y_3^2 \, y_4^2, \quad \phi_3 := \frac{y_1^{m+2}}{y_3^{m-2}}, \quad \phi_4 := \frac{y_2^{m+2}}{y_4^{m-2}}, \\ z_1 &= -\frac{\beta \left[(m-2)\beta + m \right]}{m+2}, \quad z_2 = \frac{(2\beta+1)^2}{(m+2)^2 \, \beta^m}, \qquad \beta := \left[\frac{b_1 \, \phi_1}{b_0 \, \phi_0} \Big/ \overset{A}{\mathscr{I}}(g) \right], \end{aligned}$



Mirror Motets

The Discriminant-Proof-of-Concept \odot So: $\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{F}_m^{(n)}[c_1]) \stackrel{\text{mm}}{\approx} \mathscr{M}(\stackrel{\nabla}{\mathscr{F}_m^{(n)}}[c_1])$ \odot In fact, also: $\mathscr{W}(\stackrel{\nabla}{\mathscr{F}_m^{(n)}}[c_1]) \stackrel{\text{mm}}{\approx} \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{F}_m^{(n)}[c_1])$ \checkmark ...when restricted to no (MPCP) blow-ups & "cornerstone" polynomial \odot Then, dim $\mathscr{W}(\stackrel{\nabla}{\mathscr{F}_m^{(n)}}[c_1]) = n = \dim \mathscr{M}(\mathscr{F}_m^{(n)}[c_1])$ \odot Same method:

$$e^{2\pi i \,\widetilde{\tau}_{\alpha}} = \prod_{I=0}^{2n} \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^{2} \widetilde{Q}_{I}^{\beta} \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{\beta} \right)^{\widetilde{Q}_{I}^{\alpha}} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline I & (\sum_{\beta} Q_{I} \ \sigma_{\beta}) & (a_{I} \varphi_{I}) / \mathscr{Y}_{(210)}(J) \\ \hline 0 & -2(m+2)(\widetilde{\sigma}_{1} + \widetilde{\sigma}_{2}) & -2\left((a_{3} \varphi_{3}) + (a_{4} \varphi_{4})\right) \\ \hline 1 & m \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{1} + 2 \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{2} & \frac{m (a_{3} \varphi_{3}) + 2 (a_{4} \varphi_{4})}{m+2} \\ \widetilde{z}_{a} = \prod_{I=0}^{2n} \left(a_{I} \varphi_{I}(x)\right)^{\widetilde{Q}_{I}^{\alpha}} / \overset{\mathscr{Y}}{\mathscr{Y}} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 2 & 2 \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{1} + m \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{2} & \frac{2(a_{3} \varphi_{3}) + m (a_{4} \varphi_{4})}{m+2} \\ \hline 3 & (m+2) \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{1} & (a_{3} \varphi_{3}) \\ 4 & (m+2) \,\widetilde{\sigma}_{2} & (a_{4} \varphi_{4}) \end{array}$$

Laurent GLSM Coda

Summary

-Proof-of-Concept-

BH



Laurent GLSM Coda

Summary

 \bigcirc CY(*n*-1)-folds in Hirzebruch 4-folds 🛯 Euler characteristic 🔽

- 🖗 Chern class, term-by-term 🔽
- Hodge numbers

Cornerstone polynomials & mirror

Phase-space regions & mirror

Phase-space discriminant & mirror

- The "other way around" (limited)
- 🛯 Yukawa couplings 🚺 🔶

World-sheet instantons

Gromov-Witten invariants 🔜 🗸

Will there be anything else?

 $d(\theta^{(k)}) := k! \operatorname{Vol}(\theta^{(k)})$ [BH: signed by orientation!]

-of-Concept— arXiv:1611.10300 Oriented polytopes + more

BF

 \bigcirc Newton $\Delta_X := (\Delta_X^{\star})^{\nabla}$

VEX polytopes

-Proof-of-Concept-

s.t.: $((\Delta)^{\nabla})^{\nabla} = \Delta$

- Star-triangulable
 - w/flip-folded faces
- Polytope extension
 - \Leftrightarrow Laurent monomials



http://physic

0

 \bigcirc

Departments of Physics & Astronomy Department of Thysics, Faculty Department - - Physics,

0

>ward.edu/~thubsch/

ematics, Howard University, Washin, con DC Sciences, Novi Sad University, Serbia Central Florida, Orlando FL

0

$$\begin{cases} \left| \left\{ b^{*} \left\{ \left[\frac{3}{2} \ 0 - 2 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 0 - 1 \\ 1 - 1 - 1 - 2 - 5 - 1 - 1 \right], \frac{1}{2}, \frac{$$

