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Unh... re-using expressions on the board without carefully specifying the notation and any changes between the first writing and a later re-use leads to erroneous statements. So, here's what can be stated correctly.

## 1 A Curious Divergence

Consider the divergence

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\vec{r} r^{n}\right) & =(\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{r}) r^{n}+\vec{r} \cdot\left(\vec{\nabla} r^{n}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial y}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial z}{\partial z}\right) r^{n}+\vec{r} \cdot\left(n r^{n-1}(\vec{\nabla} r)\right), \\
& =3 r^{n}+n r^{n-1} \vec{r} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r}\right)=3 r^{n}+n r^{n-1} \frac{r^{2}}{r}=(3+n) r^{n}, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used that

$$
\begin{align*}
\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{r} & =\left[\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right] \cdot\left(\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{x} x+\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{y} y+\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{z} z\right)=\frac{\partial x}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial y}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial z}{\partial z}=3 ;  \tag{2}\\
\vec{\nabla} r & =\left[\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right] \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}=\frac{\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{x} 2 x+\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{y} 2 y+\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{z} 2 z}{2 \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{x} x+\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{y} y+\hat{\mathrm{e}}_{z} z}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}}=\frac{\vec{r}}{r} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

So, Eq. (1) would seem to imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)=(3-3) \frac{1}{r^{3}}=0, \quad \text { but this is not so everywhere. } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

That something might be "fishy" with this computation is indicated by the fact that the function $r^{-3}$ is ill-defined at $r=0$, and so must be its derivative. To be true, the function $r^{n}$ is ill-defined for all $n<0$, but the result (1) that there's something special about $n=-3$ and $\vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)$.

## $1.1 n=-3$

Let's fix the value $n=-3$, and note that the computation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)=(3-3) \frac{1}{r^{3}}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is safe for all values of $\vec{r} \neq \overrightarrow{0}$. Then, in particular, there is nothing wrong with integrating this function over any volume that does not include the origin. We pick such a volume constructed as follows:

1. To begin with, take $V$ to be the inside of a (arbitrarily lumpy, large) surface $S$ that encloses the origin.
2. Then construct $V \rightarrow V_{*}$, by:
(a) excising a tiny ball (within a tiny sphere $S^{\prime}$ ) from around the origin,
(b) excising a tiny wormhole/tunnel ("bridge", denoted $B$ ) connecting the inside of the excised inner sphere $S^{\prime}$ to the exterior of $S$.

See Fig. 1 for a sketch: both in mock-3D, and a cross-section through the "bridge" and the inner, tiny excised ball.


Figure 1: The surface combined as $S \cup B \cup S^{\prime}$ and the volume $V_{*}$ enclosed by it, which excludes the origin. The cross-section picture to the right indicates how the normals to the surface "propagate" from being outward on the outer surface $S$, opposing on the opposite but infinitesimally separated walls of the bridge/wormhole $B$, to being inwardly oriented on the inside sphere $S^{\prime}$.

Since $V_{*}$ explicitly excludes the origin, the computation (5) is correct everywhere within $V_{*}$, and also on its boundary $\partial V_{*}=S \cup B \cup S^{\prime}$. That means we can employ Gauss's theorem

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{V_{*}} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \vec{r} \vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)= & \int_{S \cup B \cup S^{\prime}} \mathrm{d}^{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right),  \tag{6a}\\
\| & \| \\
\int_{V_{*}} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \vec{r} 0 & \left(\int_{S}+\int_{B}+\int_{S^{\prime}}\right) \mathrm{d}^{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)  \tag{6b}\\
\| & \| \\
0 & \int_{S} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)-\int_{-S^{\prime}} \mathrm{d}^{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The tubular surface $B$ is made infinitesimally narrow, so that contributions from the opposite points on the tube cancel each other out: the integrand will evaluate to the same magnitude but have the opposite signs, since the normals to the surface on opposite sides of a tupe are directed in opposite directions.

The inner spherical integral has inward (towards the origin) normals, so that the scalar product with $\vec{r}$ will be negative of what it would be if we had the usual, outward normals. Flipping
the normals on $S^{\prime} \rightarrow$ to become the more usual, outward ones reverses the orientation of this integral and changes $+\int_{S^{\prime}} \rightarrow-\int_{-S^{\prime}}$, and (6c) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)=\int_{-S^{\prime}} \mathrm{d}^{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we can evaluate the integral over the large, arbitrarily lumpy surface $S$ by means of evaluating the integral over the tiny surface $S^{\prime}$.

Furthermore, we can choose $S^{\prime}$ to be a small, perfect sphere, of radius $\epsilon$. Then, its (outwardoriented) surface element will be $\epsilon^{2} \sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta, \mathrm{~d} \phi$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-S^{\prime}} \mathrm{d}^{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)=\epsilon^{2} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin \theta \mathrm{d} \theta}_{=2} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \phi}_{=2 \pi} \hat{r} \cdot \frac{\hat{r}}{\epsilon^{2}}=4 \pi, \quad \text { since } \hat{r} \cdot \hat{r}=1 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{S} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)=4 \pi \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an arbitrarily large and lumpy surface that encloses the coordinate origin, where $\vec{r}=\overrightarrow{0}$. We now wish to extend this result to the left-hand side of Gauss's theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \vec{r} \vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)=\oint_{S} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)=4 \pi \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

were now the volume $V$ (no longer $V_{*}!!!$ ) includes the origin, being the entire volume enclosed by the unmodified surface $S$.

The preceding computation relied on $S$ enclosing the origin, and so it relies on $V$ including the origin. Therefore, we have:

$$
\int_{V} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \vec{r} \vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)=\oint_{S} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right)=\left\{\begin{align*}
4 \pi & \text { when } \quad V \text { includes } \overrightarrow{0}  \tag{11}\\
0 & \text { when } \quad V \text { does not include } \ni \overrightarrow{0}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

This simple result indicates that the "function"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{3}(\vec{r}):=\vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{3}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is no ordinary function. For one thing, we can vary $V$ as we like, as long as it includes the single point $\vec{r}=\overrightarrow{0}$, the integral is non-zero. And, as soon as this point is excluded, the integral is zero. For this to happen, $\delta^{3}(\vec{r})$ must in fact vanish everywere except at $\vec{r}=\overrightarrow{0}$, and there $\delta^{3}(\vec{r})$ must have such a "value" that its infinitesimal volume element multiple equals $4 \pi$. That is, the "value" $\delta^{3}(\overrightarrow{0})$ must be infinitely large, and precisely so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{3}(\overrightarrow{0})=\lim _{\Delta \mathrm{Vol} \rightarrow 0} \frac{4 \pi}{\triangle \mathrm{Vol}^{\prime}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is clearly undefined, limiting to division by zero. Nevertheless, as this thing occurs in all physics models, it is clearly a useful tool, and we refer to is as the Dirac " $\delta$-function" in all physics literature

More properly called a distribution, the Dirac " $\delta$-function" has a more rigorous definition as follows:

Definition 1.1 (Dirac's $\delta$-Function) For a well-defined class of (our purposes, everywhere once-differentiable) functions $f(x)$, the Dirac $\delta$-function is defined to satisfy the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} x \delta\left(x-x_{0}\right) f(x)=f\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.1: Owing to the assumption about the functions $f(x)$ for which the defining formula holds, we can do all the usual "tricks" such as differentiating the defining formula (14) by the parameter $x_{0}$, integrating both sides of (14) over $x_{0}$, integrate the integral on the right-hand side of (14) by parts and change variables in it.

Analogous " $\delta$-functions" for (higher-dimensional) multiple integrals are obtained by multiplying such 1-dimensional " $\delta$-functions":

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{3}\left(\vec{r}-\vec{r}_{0}\right)=\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right) \delta\left(y-y_{0}\right) \delta\left(z-z_{0}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Cartesian coordinates. When changing to other types of coordinates, one will have to more carefully evaluate the consequences of changing variables, but this should get the Reader started.

## $1.2 n>-3$

Now we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{n}}\right)=(3+n) r^{n}, \quad \text { where } \quad 3+n>0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider, for example, $n=-2$. then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{V} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \vec{r} \frac{1}{r^{2}} & =\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \int_{y_{1}(x)}^{y_{2}(x)} \mathrm{d} y \int_{z_{1}(x, y)}^{z_{2}(x, y)} \mathrm{d} z \frac{1}{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}  \tag{17a}\\
& =\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \int_{y_{1}(x)}^{y_{2}(x)} \mathrm{d} y \frac{\left[\arctan \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}\right)\right]_{z_{1}(x, y)}^{z_{2}(x, y)}}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}  \tag{17b}\\
& =\text { etc. } \tag{17c}
\end{align*}
$$

where the next step in the integration can only be specified once we know the functions $z_{i}(x, y)$ in terms of which the volume domain of integration is specified. Amusingly, if we let $V$ become all space, so that $x, y, z \in(-\infty,+\infty)$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\infty} \mathrm{d}^{3} \vec{r} \frac{1}{r^{2}} & =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} x \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} y \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} z \frac{1}{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}  \tag{18a}\\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} x \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} y \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}  \tag{18b}\\
& =\pi \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x \infty \tag{18c}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $y$-integral diverges. Alternatively, we might attempt to solve (18b) by changing into polar coordinates: $(x, y) \rightarrow(\rho, \phi)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho \mathrm{d} \rho \underbrace{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \phi}_{=2 \pi} \frac{1}{\rho}=2 \pi^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} \rho=2 \pi^{2}[\rho]_{0}^{\infty}, \tag{18~d}
\end{equation*}
$$

which reveals that the integral diverges linearly, as $\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty}(\rho)$. It is not hard to determine that integrals for all $n>-3$ diverge if $V$ does.
$1.3 n<-3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{n}}\right)=(3+n) r^{n}, \quad \text { where } \quad 3+n<0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider, for example, $n=-4$. then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{V} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \vec{r} \frac{1}{r^{4}} & =\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \int_{y_{1}(x)}^{y_{2}(x)} \mathrm{d} y \int_{z_{1}(x, y)}^{z_{2}(x, y)} \mathrm{d} z \frac{1}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}}  \tag{20a}\\
& =\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \int_{y_{1}(x)}^{y_{2}(x)} \mathrm{d} y\left\{\left[\frac{z}{2\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)}\right]_{z_{1}(x, y)}^{z_{2}(x, y)}+\frac{\left[\arctan \left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}\right)\right]_{z_{1}(x, y)}^{z_{2}(x, y)}}{2\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\right. \tag{20b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=e t c \tag{20c}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the next step in the integration can only be specified once we know the functions $z_{i}(x, y)$ in terms of which the volume domain of integration is specified. Amusingly, if we let $V$ become all space, so that $x, y, z \in(-\infty,+\infty)$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\infty} \mathrm{d}^{3} \vec{r} \frac{1}{r^{4}} & =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} x \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} y \int_{\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} z \frac{1}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}}  \tag{21a}\\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} x \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} y \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \tag{21b}
\end{align*}
$$

where we immediately change to polar coordinates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\pi}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho \mathrm{d} \rho \underbrace{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \phi}_{=2 \pi} \frac{1}{\rho^{3}}=\pi^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} \rho}{\rho^{2}}=2 \pi\left[\frac{1}{\rho}\right]_{\infty^{\prime}}^{0} \tag{21c}
\end{equation*}
$$

which reveals that the integral diverges linearly, as $\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)$. It is not hard to determine that integrals for all $n<-3$ diverge if $V$ includes the origin.

### 1.4 So...

There clearly exist choices of volume domains over which the integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \vec{r} \vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{n}}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $n \neq-3$ diverge, and volume domains for which the integral for the same $n$ is finite. The simple "3-cases" formula I wrote on the board was then misleading at best; it didn't even specify this drastic dependence on $V$. Nevertheless, the results for $n=-3$, for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{V} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \vec{r} \vec{\nabla} \cdot\left(\frac{\vec{r}}{r^{n}}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

were the ones we were after. The rest was just to satisfy the curiosity of inquisitive Students.

