(Fundamental) Physics of Elementary Particles

Special solutions and singularities Cosmological solutions and time-travel Spacetime engineering and wormholes

Tristan Hübsch

Department of Physics and Astronomy Howard University, Washington DC Prirodno-Matematički Fakultet Univerzitet u Novom Sadu

Fundamental Physics of Elementary Particles

PROGRAM

- Special Solutions and Singularities
 - Massive, charged and rotating black holes
- Cosmological Solutions and Time-Travel
 - Standard geometries in cosmology
 - The cosmological constant & dark stuff
 - Non-standard cosmologies: Kasner, Gödel...
 - Spacetime Engineering and Wormholes
 - Additivity of matter, but not of spacetime
 - Energy conditions
 - Einstein-Rosen bridge
 - What's in the coordinate domain
 - Spacetime surgery
 - Traversable wormholes

MASSIVE BLACK HOLES

1915, Scwarzschild solution... a reminder

$$\begin{aligned} [g_{\mu\nu}] &= \text{diag} \Big(-f_s(r), \frac{1}{f_s(r)}, r^2, r^2 \sin^2(\theta) \Big), \\ ds^2 &= -f_s(r) c^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{f_s(r)} dr^2 + r^2 \big(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\varphi^2 \big), \\ f_s(r) &:= \Big(1 - \frac{r_s}{r} \Big), \qquad r_s = \frac{2G_N M}{c^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\Box f_S(r) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} r f_S(r) = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} (r - r_S) \equiv 0$$

That is, $f_S(r)$ is a harmonic function.

• This metric satisfies the Einstein equation w/o matter.

- The mass *M* characterizes the spacetime itself
 - ...and is localized at the origin, at the singularity,
 - ...in that a Gaussian sphere can be "shrunk down" to it.

MASSIVE BLACK HOLES

- Schwarzschild solution... a few more things (for now):
- It makes sense to put Gaussian encircling spheres only down to r_s, the event horizon: you cannot extract info from within.
- ...and, within r_s , a Gaussian sphere would have t for the radius.
- For all practical purposes, the Schwarzschild geometry extends only down to r_S ; the "inside" is inaccessible to external observers.
- The solution is asymptotically flat and spherically symmetric
- ...at $r \gg r_s$, approximately flat.
- There can easily be many black holes, sufficiently far away from each other no two to affect each other.

Visit, e.g., http://pisces.as.utexas.edu/GenRel/



≈flat

curved

MASSIVE BLACK HOLES

- Schwarzschild solution... a few more things (for now):
- Any gravitational field bends geodesics—and so also light beams
- Light sources that pass behind a black hole have their light emitted to one and the other side bent around...

...producing a double image.



MASSIVE AND CHARGED BLACK HOLES

1916–1918, Hans Reissner and Gunnar Nordstrøm:

$$[g_{\mu\nu}] = \operatorname{diag}\left(-f_{RN}(r), \frac{1}{f_{RN}(r)}, r^2, r^2 \sin^2(\theta)\right),$$

$$ds^2 = -f_{RN}(r)c^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{f_{RN}(r)}dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\varphi^2),$$

armonic $f_{RN}(r) := \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r} + \frac{r_q^2}{r^2}\right), \quad r_q := \sqrt{\frac{q^2 G_N}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^4}}.$
The characteristic function $f_{RN}(r)$ vanishes at:

$$r_{\pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(r_{s}\pm\sqrt{r_{s}^{2}-4r_{q}^{2}}\right).$$

There are two very different cases:

• When $2r_q < r_s$: concentric horizons

• When $2r_q > r_s$, *i.e.*, $\frac{q}{\sqrt{4\pi\epsilon_0}} > 4\sqrt{G_N}M$, the black hole is overcharged, there are no horizons, the singularity is accessible to all observers.

The singularity is "naked."

MASSIVE AND CHARGED BLACK HOLES

- And, there is the marginal case "in-between":
 - When $2r_q = r_s$: the horizons coincide the extremal R-N solution
- ...which is a balancing act between the gravitational and the electrostatic field of the solution.
 - Roger Penrose's "cosmic censorship hypothesis": that every singularity has an event horizon "screen."
 - An overcharged Reissner-Nordstrøm black hole would thus violate Penrose's cosmic censorship hypothesis
 - ...which is why it is *believed* that it is not possible to construct an overcharged black hole (a naked singularity) from scratch
 - ...nonetheless, its mere existence is instructive
- A physically very nontrivial $g_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the Einstein equations, without any matter added to support it.

MASSIVE AND ROTATING BLACK HOLES

1963, Roy Kerr (1967, Robert H. Boyer & Richard H. Lindquist):

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{r_{s}r}{\rho^{2}}\right)c^{2}dt^{2} + \rho^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\Delta}dr^{2} + d\theta^{2}\right) \\ + \left(r^{2} + \ell^{2} + \frac{r_{s}r\,\ell^{2}}{\rho^{2}}\sin^{2}(\theta)\right)\sin^{2}(\theta)\,d\varphi^{2} - \frac{2r_{s}r\,\ell\,\sin^{2}(\theta)}{\rho^{2}}c\,dt\,d\varphi, \\ \ell := \frac{L}{Mc}, \quad \rho := \sqrt{r^{2} + \ell^{2}\cos^{2}(\theta)}, \quad \Delta := r^{2} - r_{s}r + \ell^{2}, \\ \Delta = 0: \text{ event horizon where } L \text{ is the angular momentum.}$$

The (*ct*, *r*, *θ*, *φ*) coordinates are not orthogonal.
There are two pairs of "horizons":
 $r_{H\pm} = \frac{1}{2}\left(r_{s} \pm \sqrt{r_{s}^{2} - 4\ell^{2}}\right) \qquad r_{E\pm} = \frac{1}{2}\left[r_{s} \pm \sqrt{r_{s}^{2} - 2\ell^{2}[1 + \cos(\theta)]}\right] \\ g_{rr} \to \infty \text{ event horizon } g_{tt} \to 0 \quad \text{ellipsoid "ergosphere"}$

8

MASSIVE AND ROTATING BLACK HOLES

- The region between the inner spherical event horizon and the outer ellipsoid is called the "ergosphere."
- Within the ergosphere, spacetime itself rotates with respect to the external observer, at the angular speed

$$\Omega = -\frac{g_{t\varphi}}{g_{\varphi\varphi}} = \frac{r_s r \ell c}{\rho^2 (r^2 + \ell^2) + r_s r \ell^2 \sin^2(\theta)}$$

Objects that "dip" through the ergosphere must co-rotate

...even if this is faster than *c*, as seen from outside.

- Dipping into the ergosphere lets passing "parallel" outside objects
- ...extracts energy: Penrose's process.
- Possible to travel, through the ergosphere, back in time.



MASSIVE, CHARGED AND ROTATING BLACK HOLES

1965, Ezra Newman modified Kerr's solution:

 $ds^{2} = -\frac{\Delta}{\rho^{2}} \left(c dt - \ell \sin^{2}(\theta) d\varphi \right)^{2} + \rho^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} dr^{2} + d\theta^{2} \right)$ $+\frac{\sin^2(\theta)}{\rho^2}\Big(\big(r^2+\ell^2\big)\mathrm{d}\varphi-\ell c\,\mathrm{d}t\Big)^2,$ $\ell := \frac{L}{Mc}, \quad \rho := \sqrt{r^2 + \ell^2 \cos^2(\theta)}, \quad \Delta := r^2 - r_s r + \ell^2 + r_q^2, \quad r_q := \sqrt{\frac{q^2 G_N}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^4}}$ The (*ct*, *r*, θ , ϕ) coordinates are not orthogonal. The "horizon geometry" is considerably more complicated. Balancing acts between mass, angular momentum, and charge. • If $r_{s^2} > 4(\ell^2 + r_q^2)$, event horizon & ergosphere • If $r_s^2 < 4(\ell^2 + r_q^2)$, no event horizon, no ergosphere Over-charging/spinning \Rightarrow no event horizon, naked singularity

MASSIVE, CHARGED AND ROTATING BLACK HOLES

1972–1973, Akira Tomimatsu & Humitaka Sato:

$$ds^{2} = -F[c dt - G d\varphi]^{2} + F^{-1}[E(d\rho^{2} + dz^{2}) + \rho^{2}d\varphi^{2}],$$

 in standard polar coordinates. The functions E, F, G are however specified easiest using "prolate spheroidal" coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \rho_0 \sqrt{(\xi^2 - 1)(1 - \eta^2)} \cos \varphi, \quad y = \rho_0 \sqrt{(\xi^2 - 1)(1 - \eta^2)} \sin \varphi, \\ z &= \rho_0 \,\xi\eta, \qquad \rho = \rho_0 \sqrt{(\xi^2 - 1)(1 - \eta^2)} \end{aligned}$$
Then:

$$E(\xi, \eta) &:= \frac{A(\xi, \eta)}{p^{2\delta}(\xi^2 - \eta^2)^{\delta^2}}, \quad F(\xi, \eta) &:= \frac{A(\xi, \eta)}{B(\xi, \eta)}, \quad \rho_0 &:= \frac{G_N}{c^2} \frac{p}{\delta}m \end{aligned}$$

$$G(\xi, \eta) &:= \frac{2L/mc}{A(\xi, \eta)} (1 - \eta^2) C(\xi, \eta), \qquad p = \sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{G_N^2} \frac{L^2}{m^4}} \end{aligned}$$
where $A(\xi, \eta), B(\xi, \eta), C(\xi, \eta)$ are polynomials of degree $2\delta^2, 2\delta^2$ and $(2\delta^2 - 1)$, respectively.

Tuesday, March 6, 12

MASSIVE, CHARGED AND ROTATING BLACK HOLES

- For $\delta = 1$, the Tomimatsu-Sato solution is equivalent to Kerr's
- For $\delta \neq 1$, the Tomimatsu-Sato solutions have naked singularities
- These solutions are but a select few of a large class of known, exact solutions to the Einstein equations
 - ...many of which with various spacetime singularities
- ...and mass, charge and angular momentum values.
- So, could the electron be (modeled as) a charged black hole? • With standard $q_e = 1.602 \, 176 \times 10^{-19} \, \text{C} \& m_e = 9.109 \, 382 \times 10^{-31} \, \text{kg}$,

$$r_q(e^-) = 9.152 \times 10^{-37} \text{ m} < \ell_P$$

 $r_s(e^-) = 1.353 \times 10^{-57} \text{ m} \ll \ell_P$

This model is not wrong, but quite *pointless*: there would exist no directly observable consequence. There are indirect problems...

STANDARD GEOMETRIES IN COSMOLOGY

Alexander Friedman, Georges H.J.E. Lemaître, Howard P. Robertson and Arthur G. Walker (FLRW):

$$ds^{2} = -c^{2}dt^{2} + a^{2}(t)d\Sigma^{2}, \qquad \begin{cases} d\Sigma^{2} := \left[\frac{dr^{2}}{1 - Kr^{2}} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}\right], \\ d\Omega^{2} := d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}(\theta)d\varphi^{2} \end{cases}$$

...*a*(*t*) is the scale function, *K* the Gauss curvature at a(t) = 1. These (*t*, *r*, θ , φ) coordinates cover only half the spacetime Or use "hyper-spherical coordinates":

$$d\Sigma^2 = dr^2 + S_{\kappa}^2(r)d\Omega^2, \quad S_{\kappa}(r) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \sin(r\sqrt{K}) & K > 0, \\ r & K = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{K} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|K|}} \sinh(r\sqrt{|K|}) \quad K < 0.$$

Tuesday, March 6, 12

THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT & DARK STUFF

The "standard form" of Einstein's equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = \frac{8\pi G_N}{c^4}T_{\mu\nu},$$

• is not what he originally published. Instead,

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = \frac{8\pi G_N}{c^4}T_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\Lambda$$

...where Λ is the cosmological constant.

Motivated by:

• the possibility of adding to the Einstein-Hilbert action $\int \sqrt{-g} d^4 x \Lambda$ • the fact that Λ permits a stationary flat geometry

THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT & DARK STUFF

- Thus, any (matter distribution with $p = -\rho c^2$) = Λ .
- In general (for isotropic & homogeneous matter)
 - **Dark energy**: anything that has $p/\rho < 0$.
 - **Quintessence**: anything that has $p/\rho < -c^2/3$.
 - **Cosmological constant**: anything that has $p / \rho = -c^2$.
 - **Phantom energy**: anything that has $p / \rho < -c^2$.
 - Of particular interest:

 $ds^{2} = \begin{cases} -c^{2}dt^{2} + a_{0}^{2}e^{+2c\sqrt{\Lambda/3}t} d\vec{r}^{2}, & \text{de Sitter,} \\ -c^{2}dt^{2} + d\vec{r}^{2}, & \text{Minkowski,} \\ -c^{2}dt^{2} + a_{0}^{2}e^{-2c\sqrt{\Lambda/3}t} d\vec{r}^{2}, & \text{anti de Sitter,} \\ ds^{2} = -c^{2}(1 \mp \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\rho^{2})d\tau^{2} + (1 \mp \frac{1}{3}\Lambda\rho^{2})^{-1}d\rho^{2} + \rho^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}(\theta)d\phi^{2}) \\ H := 2\sqrt{\Lambda/3} > 0 \text{ is the Hubble constant.} \end{cases}$

15

You are here.

NONSTANDARD GEOMETRIES IN COSMOLOGY

1921, Edward Kasner (w/o matter support):

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{t}{T_i}\right)^{2p_i} (dx^i)^2,$$

where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} p_i = 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} (p_i)^2.$$

If any two of p_i are set to vanish, the whole Riemann tensor vanishes — yet, the spacetime is neither flat nor isotropic.

$$p_{2}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - p_{1} \pm \sqrt{1 + 2p_{1} - 3p_{1}^{2}} \right),$$

$$p_{3}^{\pm} = 1 - p_{1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - p_{1} \pm \sqrt{1 + 2p_{1} - 3p_{1}^{2}} \right),$$

• so $-\frac{1}{3} \le p_i \le 1$: permutations of (0,0,1) ... ($-\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3},\frac{2}{3}$).

• Spacetime volume expands linearly in coordinate time:

$$\sqrt{-g} = ct/(T_1^{p_1}T_2^{p_2}T_3^{p_3})$$

NONSTANDARD GEOMETRIES IN COSMOLOGY

1949, Kurt Gödel: $ds^{2} = -c^{2}dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_{g}}\right)^{2}} + r^{2}\left[1 - \left(\frac{r}{r_{g}}\right)^{2}\right]d\phi^{2} + dz^{2} - c\frac{2\sqrt{2}r^{2}}{r_{g}}dt\,d\phi,$ $1 + (\frac{1}{r_g})$ The cylindrical coordinates (t, r, ϕ, z) co-rotate. $\Omega_g := \frac{\sqrt{2}c}{r_g}$ Light follows elliptical paths, out to r_g , then turning back. Optical horizon for B Optical horizon for C B А Optical horizon for A

Massive particles at rest continue moving only in time.

NONSTANDARD GEOMETRIES IN COSMOLOGY

Isometries of Gödel's metric:

$$X_0 := \frac{1}{\Omega_g} \partial_t, \quad X_3 := \partial_z, \quad \text{and} \quad X_2 := \partial_\phi.$$

• Far less obviously:

$$X_{1,4} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_g}\right)^2}} \left[\frac{r}{\sqrt{2c}} \cos \phi \,\partial_t \pm \frac{r_g}{2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_g}\right)^2 \right] \left\{ \frac{\sin \phi}{\cos \phi} \right\} \partial_r + \frac{r_g}{2r} \left[1 + 2\left(\frac{r}{r_g}\right)^2 \right] \left\{ \frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \phi} \right\} \partial_z \right]$$

and

$$L_{1} := X_{4}, \ L_{2} := X_{1}, \qquad \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} L_{j}, L_{k} \end{bmatrix} = i\varepsilon_{jk}^{\ell}L_{\ell}, \\ L_{3} := -i(X_{0} + X_{2}), \qquad \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} L_{j}, X_{k} \end{bmatrix} = 0 = \begin{bmatrix} L_{j}, X_{3} \end{bmatrix}, \right.$$

generates the $\mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{tr}(\mathbb{R}^{1,1})$ algebra.

Acts "transitively": find paths that include the origin

...then transform that point to any other.

NONSTANDARD GEOMETRIES IN COSMOLOGY

- Gödel's universe is geodesically complete, yet has no singularity
- ...and has an unusually high degree of isometry: $\mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{tr}(\mathbb{R}^{1,1})$.
- Traveling in time: "Look, ma: no singularity!"



NONSTANDARD GEOMETRIES IN COSMOLOGY

Einstein tensor:

[R_{μν} - ¹/₂g_{μν}R] = T_{μν} = Ω²_g diag(-1,1,1,1) + 2Ω²_g diag(1,0,0,0)
The 1st part: "lambda vacuum" = sol'n w/Λ.
The 2nd part: co-rotating perfect fluid/dust.
Notice: energy momentum tensors are additive ...provided the matter distributions can co-exist

> Einstein tensors and energy-momentum density tensors of matter/energy distributions are additive; the corresponding metrics are not.

TIME TRAVEL

- In short: time travel is perfectly possible in general relativity.
- Closed time-like curves (CTC)
 - In Gödel's universe, the high degree of symmetry makes it possible to prove that there can be no causality violation.
 - Traveling through the ergosphere of the Kerr geometry, or its ringlike singularity, or many other constructions...
 - ...semi-classical arguments: causality violation is probably precluded.
 - 1992, Stephen Hawking: "general chronology protection principle" (hypothesis)
- 1975, Igor Novikov: only self-consistent CTC's are possible.
- Chronology violating set (CVS?) = points traversed by CTCs
- The boundary of CVS is the Cauchy horizon, generated by closed null geodesics.

ENERGY CONDITIONS

- Use Einstein equations as you would the Gauss-Ampère ones:
- Specify a desired geometry, *i.e.*, { (t, ξ , η , ζ), $g_{\mu\nu}$ }
- Compute the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R$
- Identify the energy-momentum density tensor
 - as a sum of matter/energy components.
 - Physical characteristics of matter/energy distributions?
 - Can such matter/energy be assembled from known types/ forms of matter/energy
 - ...or does it require *exotic* matter/energy?
 - Make it so!



ENERGY CONDITIONS

- To aid in this characterization, define:
 - 1. a time-like 4-vector field with components $\xi^{\mu}(\mathbf{x})$,

i.e., $g_{\mu\nu}\xi^{\mu}\xi^{\nu} < 0$, $\forall x$;

- 2. a **light-like**, *i.e.*, null-vector field w/components $k^{\mu}(\mathbf{x})$, *i.e.*, $g_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu} = 0$, $\forall \mathbf{x}$;
- 3. a **causal** 4-vector field with components $\zeta^{\mu}(\mathbf{x})$,

i.e.,
$$g_{\mu\nu}\zeta^{\mu}\zeta^{\nu} \leq 0, \forall x.$$

	Condition		for all	
Dominant	$g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\rho}T_{\nu\sigma}\zeta^{\rho}\zeta^{\sigma}\leqslant 0$ and	$g^{0\mu}T_{\mu u}\zeta^{ u}<0$	$g_{\mu\nu}\zeta^{\mu}\zeta^{\nu}\leqslant 0,$	$(\zeta^0 > 0)$
Weak	$T_{\mu u}\xi^{\mu}\xi^{ u}\leqslant 0$		$g_{\mu u}\xi^\mu\xi^ u<0$	
Null*	$T_{\mu u}k^{\mu}k^{ u}\leqslant 0$		$g_{\mu\nu}k^{\mu}k^{\nu}=0$	
Strong	$\left[T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T\right]\xi^{\mu}\xi^{\nu} \leqslant 0$		$g_{\mu\nu}\xi^{\mu}\xi^{\nu}<0$	

* The "Null" condition is also often referred to as "light-like."

Dominant \Rightarrow weak \Rightarrow Null \Leftarrow Strong

EINSTEIN-ROSEN BRIDGE

Recall Scwarzschild's solution:

 $ds^{2} = -f_{S}(r)c^{2}dt^{2} + \frac{1}{f_{S}(r)}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}(\theta) d\varphi^{2}),$ $f_{S}(r) := (1 - \frac{r_{S}}{r}), \quad r_{S} = \frac{2G_{N}M}{c^{2}}.$ 1. the time component, $g_{00} = g_{tt} = -(1 - \frac{r_{S}}{r})c^{2}$ vanishes,

2. the radial component, $g_{rr} = -(1-\frac{r_s}{r})^{-1}$ diverges. For $r < r_s$,

 $f_S(r) < 0$ so $g_{tt} = -f_S(r) > 0$ and $g_{rr} = (f_S(r))^{-1} < 0$. As seen by an outside observer,

- all speeds come to a screeching halt near the event horizon
- in-falling objects take forever to reach the event horizon
- out-coming light becomes red-shifted to $\lambda \to \infty$.

EINSTEIN-ROSEN BRIDGE

Some picturesque info on Scwarzschild's solution:



Tuesday, March 6, 12

EINSTEIN-ROSEN BRIDGE

Scwarzschild's solution, in Kruskal-Szekeres coordinates:

K-Sz		Schwarzschild			1950: J.L. Synge discovered	
$u_{1}, - u_{111}$	=	$\sqrt{\frac{r}{r}}$	$\frac{r}{r} - 1 e^{r/r_s} \cosh\left(\frac{ct}{2r}\right)$		of complete coordinates.	
$v_{I}, -v_{III}$	=	$\sqrt{\frac{r}{r_S} - 1} e^{r/r_S} \sinh\left(\frac{ct}{2r_S}\right)$		$\frac{ct}{2r_S}$	1959: C. Fronsdal re-disco- vered incompleteness & a constrained description.	
			K-Sz		Schwarzschild	
			$u_{II}, - u_{IV}$	=	$\sqrt{1-\frac{r}{r_S}}e^{r/r_S}\sinh\left(\frac{ct}{2r_S}\right)$	
			$v_{II}, -v_{IV}$	=	$\sqrt{1-\frac{r}{r_S}} e^{r/r_S} \cosh\left(\frac{ct}{2r_S}\right)$	
$\frac{r}{r_S} - 1) e^{r/r_S} =$	$= u^2$ -	$-v^{2}$,	$t = \begin{cases} \frac{2r_S}{c} \text{art} \\ \frac{2r_S}{c} \text{art} \end{cases}$	$h\left(\frac{v}{u}\right)$ $h\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)$) in regions I and III;) in regions II and IV;	

Tuesday, March 6, 12

EINSTEIN-ROSEN BRIDGE

Recall Schwarzschild's solution:



EINSTEIN-ROSEN BRIDGE

Scwarzschild's solution has two "sheets":





EINSTEIN-ROSEN BRIDGE

Although static, Scwarzschild's solution has a dynamical "story":



The Einstein-Rosen bridge is closed for massive and even massless real particles.

But not for virtual particles.

EINSTEIN-ROSEN BRIDGE

- The dynamical story of the Scwarzschild's solution, i.e., the Einstein-Rosen bridge connecting two regions of spacetime that:
 - 1. have a black hole each;
 - 2. these two black holes connect in a moment;
 - 3. the connection of these black holes opens into a space-like "bridge" (wormhole) of the $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^1$ topology;
 - 4. this "bridge" closes before even light could pass through it;
 - 5. there remain two separated regions, with a black hole each.
 - Natural question: are there traversable "bridges"?
 - Called "Lorentzian wormholes"
 - Typically need matter for support.
 - Typically need exotic matter.

TRAVERSABLE WORMHOLES

A little topological digression:





Multiple connectedness:

- The loops A and B cannot be shrunk continuously to a point.
- Neither of them is the boundary of a region of space(time).
- The loop C can be shrunk continuously to a point.
- The loop C is a boundary of a region of space(time).

TRAVERSABLE WORMHOLES

• A simple example:

$$ds^{2} = -c^{2}dt^{2} + d\ell^{2} + (k^{2} + \ell^{2})(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}(\theta)d\varphi^{2}),$$

$$r = \pm\sqrt{k^{2} + \ell^{2}} \qquad k > 0 \text{ is a constant.}$$

This produces the Einstein tensor

$$[G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R] = \frac{k^2}{(k^2 + \ell^2)^2} \operatorname{diag}\left[-c^2, -1, (k^2 + \ell^2), (k^2 + \ell^2)\sin^2(\theta)\right]$$

and so specified the energymomentum density tensor.

- The fact that $T_{rr} < 0$ indicates that such a matter/energy distribution must be exotic.
- Keeps the throat open.



TRAVERSABLE WORMHOLES

- Notice that the metric was specified in terms of the square of the radial coordinate, thus making two "branches/sheets" possible.
- This can also be achieved by making the metric depend on other even functions of a radial coordinate.
 - In particular, if the metric depends on $|x-x_0|$, then:
 - there will exist two "branches/sheets"
 - they meet at $x = x_0$
 - the Christoffel symbol will depend on the step-function $\vartheta(x-x_0)$
 - the Riemann tensor will depend on the Dirac delta-function $\delta(x-x_0)$
 - ...as will the Ricci tensor, the scalar curvature, the Einstein tensor
 - ...and so also the energy-momentum density tensor!
 - Then, the smooth part represents "bulk" matter/energy
 - the δ -function part represents matter/energy localized at $x = x_0$.

Thanks!

Tristan Hubsch

Department of Physics and Astronomy Howard University, Washington DC Prirodno-Matematički Fakultet Univerzitet u Novom Sadu

http://homepage.mac.com/thubsch/