(Fundamental) Physics of Elementary Particles

Quantum electrodynamics of leptons and hadrons Experimental proof of the parton model

Tristan Hübsch

Department of Physics and Astronomy Howard University, Washington DC Prirodno-Matematički Fakultet Univerzitet u Novom Sadu

Fundamental Physics of Elementary Particles PROGRAM

- Hadron Production in Electron-Positron Annihilation
 - Quark-antiquark production threshold
 - Hadron-to-lepton production ratio
 - Fractional charge of quarks
- The Electrodynamics of Lepton-Hadron Scattering
 - Elastic Lepton-Hadron Scattering
 - Deep Inelastic (Light) Lepton-Hadron Scattering
 - Experimental Verification of the Parton Model
- Now, 'bout them Student presentations ...
 - Pick a section/topic. Any section, from Ch.–1, 0, ... 3 or 4.
 - Start with the material in the text, research it some more
 - other books, journals, Wikipedia, Google ...
 - Explain it to your classmates. & critique each other. Constructively!

QUARK-ANTIQUARK PRODUCTION THRESHOLD

- The electromagnetic interaction of quarks is described by the analogous Lagrangian as with leptons ...
 - ... except quarks are not found isolated,
 - ... but only within bound states,
 - ... where the other constituents complicate matters.



QUARK-ANTIQUARK PRODUCTION THRESHOLD

- Consider a lepton-antilepton annihilation,
- ... that produces a quark-antiquark pair:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{M}_{\ell\bar{\ell}\to q\bar{q}} &= \frac{Q\,g_{\ell}^{2}}{(\mathbf{p}_{1}+\mathbf{p}_{2})^{2}}[\overline{v}_{2}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}u_{1}][\overline{U}_{3}\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu}V_{4}], \\ |\mathfrak{M}_{\ell\bar{\ell}\to q\bar{q}}|^{2} \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{Q\,g_{\ell}^{2}}{(\mathbf{p}_{1}+\mathbf{p}_{2})^{2}}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}(\mathbf{p}_{1}+mc)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\nu}(\mathbf{p}_{2}-mc)\right] \\ &\times \operatorname{Tr}\left[\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu}(\mathbf{p}_{4}-Mc)\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\nu}(\mathbf{p}_{3}+Mc)\right], \\ 8 \left(\frac{Q\,g_{\ell}^{2}}{(\mathbf{p}_{1}+\mathbf{p}_{2})^{2}}\right)^{2} \left[(\mathbf{p}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{p}_{3})(\mathbf{p}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{p}_{4}) + (\mathbf{p}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{p}_{4})(\mathbf{p}_{2}\cdot\mathbf{p}_{3}) + 2(mc)^{2}(Mc)^{2} \\ &+ (mc)^{2}(\mathbf{p}_{3}\cdot\mathbf{p}_{4}) + (Mc)^{2}(\mathbf{p}_{1}\cdot\mathbf{p}_{2})\right], \end{split}$$

$$=Q^2 g_e^4 \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{mc^2}{E}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{Mc^2}{E}\right)^2 + \left[1 - \left(\frac{mc^2}{E}\right)^2\right] \left[1 - \left(\frac{Mc^2}{E}\right)^2\right] \cos^2\theta \right\}$$

QUARK-ANTIQUARK PRODUCTION THRESHOLD

• Using $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} = \left(\frac{\hbar c}{8\pi}\right)^2 \frac{S\,|\mathfrak{M}|^2}{(E_A + E_B)^2} \frac{|\vec{p}_f|}{|\vec{p}_i|}$

• ... and integrating over the angles yields

 $\sigma = \frac{\pi}{3} \left(\frac{Q\hbar c\alpha}{E}\right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{1 - (Mc^2/E)^2}{1 - (mc^2/E)^2}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{mc^2}{E}\right)^2\right] \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{Mc^2}{E}\right)^2\right]$

where *m* is the (anti)lepton mass, *M* the (anti)quark mass.
Typically *m* « *M*;

for *E* < *mc*², the above result for *σ* is real, but unphysical;
for *mc*² < *E* < *Mc*², *σ* is purely imaginary;

• for $Mc^2 < E$, σ is real and asymptotically approaches 1;

• higher order corrections sharpen the step-function.

QUARK-ANTIQUARK PRODUCTION THRESHOLD

• A sketch of $\sigma(E)$:



As energies increase, heavier real quarks may be produced.

- These are "hidden" within the resulting hadrons,
 - ... and also decay (within ~10⁻²³ s) into lighter quarks ...
- However, with increasingly more available quarks, the probability of producing hadrons (vs. leptons) increases.

HADRON/LEPTON PRODUCTION RATIO

- The ratio of total number of hadron vs. lepton production
- ... grows every time the energy "hits" a new quark threshold.

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\ell\overline{\ell}\to q\overline{q}} = \frac{Qg_e^2}{(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2)^2} [\overline{v}_2 \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu} u_1] [\overline{U}_3 \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mu} V_4],$$

• ... so $\sigma(E) \propto Q^2$, and so

$$R(E) := \frac{\sigma(e^- + e^+ \to \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^- + e^+ \to \mu^- + \mu^+)} \approx \left[3\sum_i Q_i^2\right]_{M_i < E/c^2}.$$

The factor 3 stems from each quark coming in three "colors."
Everything else cancels out, since the electromagnetic interaction with muons is the same as with quarks;

- ... they are merely heavier,
 - ... and trapped in the hadron bound states.

FRACTIONAL CHARGE OF QUARKS

- Are quarks really fractionally charged?
- 1965, M.Y. Han and Y. Nambu:
 - integrally charged quarks

$$Q(u^{r}) = +1, \ Q(u^{y}) = +1, \ Q(u^{b}) = 0,$$

 $Q(d^{r}) = 0, \ Q(d^{y}) = 0, \ Q(d^{b}) = -1,$

- with the fractional averages $+\frac{2}{3}$ and $-\frac{1}{3}$.
- Processes where the observable depends on the average quark charges cannot differentiate between integral & fractional charges.
 - Such as lepton-hadron (lepton-quark) scattering.
- Processes that depend nonlinearly on individual quark charges do differentiate between integral & fractional charges.
 - Such as lepton-antilepton \rightarrow quark-antiquark,
 - hadron production (real quarks) & renormalization (virtual quarks)

FRACTIONAL CHARGE OF QUARKS

- Comparing the hadron-to-muon production ratio:
 - $R(E) \approx 3\left[\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^2\right]$ $=\frac{5}{3},$ $E \leqslant M_{u.d}c^2$, $\widetilde{R}(E) \approx [2(+1)^2 + (-1)^2]$ $E \leqslant M_{u,d}c^2$, = 3, $R(E) \approx 3\left[\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^2\right]$ $E \leqslant M_s c^2$, = 2, $\widetilde{R}(E) \approx [2(+1)^2 + (-1)^2 + (-1)^2]$ = 4, $E \leqslant M_s c^2$, $R(E) \approx 3\left[\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2\right]$ $=\frac{10}{3},$ $E \leq M_c c^2$, $\widetilde{R}(E) \approx [2(+1)^2 + (-1)^2 + (-1)^2 + 2(+1)^2] = 6,$ $E \leqslant M_c c^2$, ... and so on.

• The relative incremental increases are clearly different,

• ... and experiments support the Gell-Mann–Zweig model.

- Interactions between a lepton "probe" and a hadron "target"
 - ... reduces to interactions with individual quarks in the hadron,
 - …modified by their distribution (*form-factors*) in the hadron.
- As the energy grows, however, the outgoing state becomes
 - one or several "jets" of hadrons
 - …none of which need include the original "target,"
- ... of which the original constituents may separate.
 Pictorially:



• Modeled after the $e^+\mu^- \rightarrow e^- + \mu^-$ scattering,

$$\langle |\mathfrak{M}_{(a)}|^2 \rangle = \frac{g_e^4}{(\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_3)^4} X^{\mu\nu}(1,3;e^-) X_{\mu\nu}(2,4;\mu^-),$$

• ... we now write

$$\left\langle |\mathfrak{M}_{\ell p \to \ell p}|^{2} \right\rangle = \frac{g_{\ell}^{4}}{(\mathbf{p}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{3})^{4}} X^{\mu\nu}(1,3;\ell) K_{\mu\nu}(2,4;p^{+}), X^{\mu\nu}(1,3;\ell) = \operatorname{Tr} \left[\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mu} \left(\mathbf{p}_{1}' + m_{\ell}c\mathbb{1} \right) \overline{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{\nu} \left(\mathbf{p}_{3}' + m_{\ell}c\mathbb{1} \right) \right], = 2 \left[p_{1}^{\mu} p_{3}^{\nu} + p_{1}^{\nu} p_{3}^{\mu} + \eta^{\mu\nu} [m_{\ell}^{2} c^{2} - (\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{3})] \right].$$

- The function X^{μν}(1, 3; ℓ) is computed as before, assuming that the lepton is an elementary (point-like) spin-½ Dirac spinor.
 But, protons are not.
- Their structure is inundated with strong interaction effects.

- The proton structure modifies $X_{\mu\nu}(2,4;\ell) \rightarrow K_{\mu\nu}(2,4;p^+)$,
- ... and we need to determine the form of this function.
- It's a rank-2 tensor that may only depend on p₂, p₄, q = p₄-p₂.
 Write then p = p₂, and p₄ = q+p. Then K_{μν}(2, 4; p⁺) equals:

$$-K_1 \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{K_2}{M^2 c^2} p_{\mu} p_{\nu} + \frac{K_4}{M^2 c^2} q_{\mu} q_{\nu} + \frac{K_5}{M^2 c^2} (p_{\mu} q_{\nu} + q_{\mu} p_{\nu}),$$

The K_i coefficients are scalars, and so functions of the only scalar variable, $q^2 = (p_4 - p_2)^2$.

- $p_{2^2} = p_{4^2} = M^2 c^2$, (on-shell)
- $q \cdot p_2 = -\frac{1}{2} q^2$. (*Prove!*)
- The antisymmetric part of $K_{\mu\nu}(2, 4; p^+)$ is irrelevant, since it is contracted with $X^{\mu\nu}(1, 3; \ell)$, which is symmetric.

• It turns out that $q^{\mu} K_{\mu\nu} = 0$. Using this, it follows that

$$K_4 = \frac{M^2 c^2}{q^2} K_1 + \frac{1}{4} K_2$$
, and $K_5 = \frac{1}{2} K_2$.

• Thus, $K_{\mu\nu}(2, 4; p^+)$ is well parametrized as:

$$-K_1(q^2)\left(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{q^{\mu}q^{\nu}}{q^2}\right) + \frac{K_2(q^2)}{M^2c^2}\left(p^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}q^{\mu}\right)\left(p^{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}q^{\nu}\right).$$

• This produces

$$\begin{split} |\mathfrak{M}_{\ell p \to \ell p}|^{2} &> = \Big(\frac{2g_{e}^{2}}{q^{2}}\Big)^{2} \Big[K_{1}[(\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{p}_{3}) - 2m_{\ell}^{2}c^{2}] + K_{2}\Big(\frac{(\mathbf{p}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{p})(\mathbf{p}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{M^{2}c^{2}} + \frac{q^{2}}{4}\Big)\Big], \\ &\approx \frac{g_{e}^{4}c^{2}}{4EE'\sin^{4}(\theta/2)}\Big(2K_{1}\sin^{2}(\theta/2) + K_{2}\cos^{2}(\theta/2)\Big) \end{split}$$

• where p = (Mc, 0, 0, 0), and E, E' and θ describe the probe.

• Kinematics fixes

$$E' = \frac{E}{1 + (2E/Mc^2)\sin^2(\theta/2)}.$$

• Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega} &= \left(\frac{\alpha\hbar}{4ME\sin^2(\theta_{2})}\right)^2 \frac{E'}{E} \left(2K_1 \sin^2(\theta_{2}) + K_2 \cos^2(\theta_{2})\right), \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha\hbar}{4ME\sin^2(\theta_{2})}\right)^2 \frac{2K_1 \sin^2(\theta_{2}) + K_2 \cos^2(\theta_{2})}{1 + (2E/Mc^2)\sin^2(\theta_{2})}, \end{aligned}$$

• as computed in 1950 by M.N. Rosenbluth.

The two functions, K₁ and K₂, are determined experimentally,
... and describe the distribution of the quarks in the hadron.
They are called "form factors," and are also the aim of the

strong interaction theory—to be considered shortly.

• Now: $\sum_i H_i$ $d\sigma = \frac{\hbar^2 \left\langle |\mathfrak{M}|^2_{\ell p \to \ell X} \right\rangle}{4\sqrt{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2) - (m_1 m_2 c^2)^2}} \prod_{i=3}^n \left(\frac{c d^3 \vec{p}_i}{(2\pi)^3 2E_i} \right) (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 \left(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 - \sum_{i=3}^n \mathbf{p}_j \right),$ $\left\langle |\mathfrak{M}|^2_{\ell p \to \ell X} \right\rangle = \frac{g_e^4}{\mathfrak{a}^4} X^{\mu\nu}(1,3;\ell \text{ (lepton)}) K_{\mu\nu}(2,4;X \text{ (hadrons)})$

 If only the (lepton) probe deflection angle (distribution) and energy is measured, all else must be summed over.

• This produces

$$d\sigma = \frac{4\pi M\hbar^2 g_e^4 X^{\mu\nu}(1,3;\ell)}{4q^4 \sqrt{(p_1 \cdot p_2)^2 - (m_1 m_2 c^2)^2}} \left(\frac{cd^3 \vec{p}_3}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3}\right) W_{\mu\nu},$$

$$W_{\mu\nu} := \frac{1}{4\pi M} \sum_X \int \cdots \int \prod_{i=4}^n \left(\frac{cd^3 \vec{p}_i}{(2\pi)^3 2E_i}\right) \times (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 \left(p_1 + p_2 - \sum_{j=3}^n p_j\right) K_{\mu\nu}(2,4;X)$$

• For the proton initially at rest, $p_2 = (Mc, \mathbf{0}); p_1 = (E/c, p_i).$
• Then, $p_1 \cdot p_2 = E M$, and

$$\sqrt{(\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{p}_2)^2 - (m_1 m_2 c^2)^2} = \sqrt{M^2 (E^2 - m_\ell^2 c^4)} \approx ME$$

• since typically $m_{\ell} c^2 \ll E$ (relativistic probes).

• We may thus approximate $m_{\ell} \approx 0$, and have

 $p_1 = E(1, \hat{p}_i) / c$ and $p_3 = E'(1, \hat{p}_f) / c$.

• With this,

 $\mathrm{d}^{3}\vec{p}_{f} = |\vec{p}_{f}|^{2}\mathrm{d}|\vec{p}_{f}|\mathrm{d}\Omega \approx c^{-3}(E')^{2}\,\mathrm{d}E'\mathrm{d}\Omega,$ $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}E'\mathrm{d}\Omega} = \left(\frac{\alpha\hbar}{c\sigma^2}\right)^2 \frac{E'}{E} X^{\mu\nu}(1,3;\ell) W_{\mu\nu}.$ • There is typically more than one resulting hadron (X), and so $p_{tot}^2 \neq M^2 c^2$, and $E' \neq \frac{E}{1 + (2E/Mc^2) \sin^2(\theta/2)}$. • Also, we no longer have the relation $p \cdot q = -\frac{1}{2}q^2$, so one $x := -\frac{q^2}{2q \cdot p}.$ defines 17

• The "form-factors" now stem from writing $W_{\mu\nu}(2, 4; p^+)$ as:

$$W_1(q^2, x)\left(-\eta^{\mu\nu}+\frac{q^{\mu}q^{\nu}}{q^2}\right)+\frac{W_2(q^2, x)}{M^2c^2}\left(p^{\mu}+\frac{1}{2x}q^{\mu}\right)\left(p^{\nu}+\frac{1}{2x}q^{\nu}\right),$$

... which allows a Rosenbluth-like computation:

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dE'd\Omega} = \left(\frac{\alpha\hbar}{2ME\sin^2(\theta/_2)}\right)^2 \frac{E'}{E} \left(2W_1 \sin^2(\theta/_2) + W_2 \cos^2(\theta/_2)\right).$ • Rosenbluth's result is obtained in the special case when

$$W_i(q^2, x) = -\frac{K_i(q^2)}{2Mq^2} \,\delta(x-1),$$

• where the δ -function not only fixes x = 1, but also implies

$$E' = \frac{E}{1 + (2E/Mc^2)\sin^2(\theta_2)}.$$

Tuesday, November 1, 11

The Electrodynamics of Lepton-Hadron Scattering EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION OF THE PARTON MODEL • For an elastic $A+B \rightarrow A'+B'$ collision, in target (B) frame: $p_1 = (E/c, \vec{p}_i), p_3 = (E'/c, \vec{p}_f),$ $p_2 = (Mc, \vec{0}), \quad p_4 = (E''/c, \vec{P}_f).$ • Write $m_A = m_{A'} = m$, and $m_B = m_{B'} = M$. Then: $\mathbf{q} = (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_3) = \left((E - E') / c, (\vec{p}_i - \vec{p}_f) \right) = (\mathbf{p}_4 - \mathbf{p}_2) = \left(E'' / c - Mc, \vec{P}_f \right),$ $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{p}_2 = M(E - E'),$ $q^2 \approx -4 \frac{EE'}{c^2} \sin^2(\theta/2)$ when $mc^2 \ll E, E'$. • 1960's, J. Bjorken, within the quark model: $F_1(x) := M W_1(q^2, x),$ and $F_2(x) := \frac{-q^2}{2Mc^2x} W_2(q^2, x)$ • ... are asymptotically q²-independent.

Tuesday, November 1, 11

The Electrodynamics of Lepton-Hadron Scattering EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION OF THE PARTON MODEL

• This, "Bjorken scaling"

 $W_1(q^2, x) \sim \frac{1}{M} F_1(x)$, and $W_2(q^2, x) \sim -\frac{2Mc^2 x}{a^2} F_2(x)$,

… was soon confirmed in deep inelastic scattering.
It indicates that:

- the 4-momentum transfer is mostly to one of the three quarks,
- the quarks are much smaller than the proton,
- ... so that quarks may as well be treated as point-like (elementary, substructure-less) particles.
- In 1969, C. Callan and D. Gross derived $2xF_1(x) = F_2(x)$,
- ... which soon confirmed experimentally.
- It indicates that quarks have spin $\frac{1}{2}\hbar$.

The Electrodynamics of Lepton-Hadron Scattering

EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION OF THE PARTON MODEL

- And, that's not all.
 - Assuming that each of the three quarks acquires a fraction, z_i , of the transferred momentum,
 - using the Bjorken scaling and Callan-Gross relations between $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$,
 - representing the form-factor functions in terms of quark-inhadron probability distributions, $f_i(x)$:

 $F_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i Q_i^2 f_i(x), \qquad F_2(x) = x \sum_i Q_i^2 f_i(x),$

- ... and using experimental data for $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$, one obtains: $\int_0^1 dx \ x f_d(x) \approx 0.18 \text{ and } \int_0^1 dx \ x f_u(x) \approx 0.36.$ Given Section 1.1.
- This adds up to 54% of the transferred momentum.
- Hadrons contain something else, chargeless, that picks up the rest.

Now, 'bout Them Student Presentations

GENERAL EXPECTATIONS

• Purpose

- To explain to your class-mates the material you have chosen
- To explore the topic starting with the text, but going beyond
 - Work out & present the details of a computation
 - Research additional literature and summarize
- To convince the instructor you've done the the above
- Format
 - 30-minute presentation (blackboard or projection)
 - followed by 15 minutes of questions
 - If the students do not ask, the instructor will.
 - Audience will fill a 1-page questionnaire about each presentation
 - ... handed over to the presenter, for the presenter's benefit

Thanks!

Tristan Hubsch

Department of Physics and Astronomy Howard University, Washington DC Prirodno-Matematički Fakultet Univerzitet u Novom Sadu

http://homepage.mac.com/thubsch/

Tuesday, November 1, 11